

Presented at the
IEA Annex 23 Meeting
Roskilde, Denmark
February 25, 2009



Wind Turbine Wake Research at AWS Truewind, LLC

Michael C. Brower, PhD
AWS Truewind, LLC



AWS Truewind: Who We Are

- **One of North America's leading consultancies**
 - About 100 professional staff
 - European branch: Meteosim-Truewind (Barcelona)
- **Supports entire wind project life cycle from site identification and resource assessment to plant performance evaluation and real-time forecasting**
- **Known especially for wind resource mapping, energy production estimation, and forecasting**
 - **MesoMap: Over 50 countries mapped at 200 m resolution using a blended mesoscale/microscale modeling approach**
 - **eWind: Forecasting for 14,000 MW of wind (>50% of US wind capacity)**
 - **Computational infrastructure: ~1000 core cluster dedicated to mapping and modeling applications, 250 additional cores for forecasting**
 - **openWind: First open-source wind farm design and optimization software package**

The Wake Crisis

- **The wind industry is losing confidence in existing wake models**
 - Growing evidence of underestimation of wake losses in moderate-size wind farms (e.g., Horns Rev)
 - Suspicion that wake models contribute to ~10% overestimation of energy production
 - Growing awareness that science does not support applying existing wake models to large arrays (more than ~5 rows deep)
- **Clients are demanding answers**
 - AWST is currently assessing 3 wind projects of >1000 MW capacity
 - 3x to 4x difference between “standard” wake loss and that estimated based on rudimentary first principles (roughness technique)
 - If the worst scenario is correct, it is disastrous for large array economics: the wind industry must change course

What do we tell our clients?

Limitations of Current Approaches

- **Standard engineering models (e.g., Park, eddy viscosity) assume one-way PBL-wake interaction (Frandsen et al) – this will never work for large arrays**
- **More advanced engineering/hybrid will be much better, but likely to require much site- or plant-specific “tuning”**
- **“Roughness” approaches are very useful for order-of-magnitude estimates in large arrays but can never be fully realistic**
- **RANS CFD models are good at the turbine level but treat the atmosphere in a simplified fashion: idealized boundary layer profiles, steady-state inflow conditions, limited ability to handle stability**
- **Mesoscale numerical weather prediction models simulate the atmosphere well but typically are too coarse to resolve individual turbines**
- **LES models resolve individual turbines well but entail far too much computation to handle more than a few turbines/cases**

Where is the greatest opportunity for progress in ~6 months?

AWS Truewind's Wake Program

- **Monitor POWWOW, UPwind, IEA, other scientific forums**
- **Develop a plant data base (3 projects to date, ongoing)**
 - Will be used to evaluate wake and wind resource models
 - SCADA data being analyzed to separate wake, topographic, and other effects
 - For wake studies, best to have simple terrain
- **Assess “standard” wake models (eddy-viscosity, Park)**
 - Review published research (Horns Rev, etc.)
 - Evaluate models against plant data base
- **Implement near-term “fix” based on roughness method (1-2 months)**
 - So far validated only offshore (GH “offshore model”)
 - Should be applicable onshore – why not?!
 - Not a satisfactory long-term solution: *wrong physics*

continued

Wake Program (continued)

- **Develop and test a new wake model (2-5 months)**
 - “Dynamic CFD” or “mesoscale” approach
 - Based on ARPS (Advanced Regional Prediction System), an open-source model developed at Oklahoma U. for storm prediction (www.caps.ou.edu/ARPS/arpsdoc.html)
 - Individual turbines represented as momentum/energy sinks and turbulence sources at the grid cell level (e.g., Adams & Keith)
 - Employ high resolution (~100 m horizontal, ~ 30 m vertical) to partially resolve turbine rotor, fully resolve spaces between turbines
 - Dynamic 24-hour simulations for a representative sample of days (e.g., 72)
 - Expected total simulation time: about 10 days on 288 cores
 - Start with simple, idealized arrays, verify against available data and models
 - Build confidence and move to larger arrays in complex terrain

“Mesoscale” Wake Modeling: Advantages and Disadvantages

- **Advantages**
 - Simulates wake-wake and two-way PBL-wake interactions
 - Addresses topographic and wake effects in an integrated fashion
 - Accommodates different wind climates in a natural way
 - Avoids idealized representations of the boundary layer
 - Allows dynamic features of wind flow to be simulated
 - Captures a range of stability conditions
- **Disadvantages**
 - Computer time and cost
 - Cannot be used directly for array optimization – a different approach is required for that
 - Challenging to fully resolve rotor wakes (LES required)
- ***Our bet is that, for large arrays, this method will be worth the time and cost. The details of individual turbine wakes can be handled by other methods.***

openWind

- **Open-source wind farm design and optimization program**
- **Provides a common platform on which different wake models may be implemented and tested**
- **Currently has the Modified Park and Ainslie Eddy-Viscosity model**
- **Will likely be used to implement roughness method in near term**
- **Visit <http://www.awsopenwind.org/>**
- **Try it – it's fun!**